```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                        DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
 2
                            SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                          4:19-CR-40097
 4
 5
                                          Sioux Falls, South Dakota
                     Plaintiff,
                                          Courtroom II
 6
        -vs-
                                          February 14, 2022
     NATHAN PEACHEY AND
                                          8:30 a.m.
     JOHN RICK WINER,
 8
                     Defendants.
 9
10
                          PUBLIC TRANSCRIPT OF
                           SENTENCING HEARING
11
                           OF NATHAN PEACHEY
12
                          BEFORE THE HONORABLE
                           KAREN E. SCHREIER
1.3
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
     (PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 16-04, PORTIONS OF ALL CHANGE OF
            PLEA AND SENTENCING TRANSCRIPTS ARE RESTRICTED)
15
16
17
     APPEARANCES:
     Counsel for Plaintiff:
18
                     Jeremy R. Jehangiri and
19
                     Ann Hoffman
20
                     U.S. Attorney's Office
                     PO Box 2638
                     Sioux Falls, SD 57101-4410
21
22
     Defendant Nathan Peachey appeared pro se
23
24
25
```

(Ex parte proceedings preceding this matter 1 are contained in separate transcript) 2 THE COURT: And the courtroom is now open to the 3 public. 4 (Courtroom opened) 5 THE COURT: This is the time scheduled for a 6 7 sentencing in the matter entitled United States of America v. Nathan Peachey. 8 9 Would the government please note its appearance for the record. 10 MR. JEHANGIRI: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeremy 11 12 Jehangiri on behalf of the United States, along with my 1.3 colleague, Ann Hoffman. THE COURT: And Mr. Peachey is here representing 14 himself. He no longer wants to have an attorney represent 15 16 him. Mr. Peachey, is that correct? 17 DEFENDANT PEACHEY: That is correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And, Mr. Peachey, have you had a chance 19 20 to review the Presentence Report? DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I've reviewed it; and I have 21 accepted it, signed, and dated the entire report. I have 22 accepted it for the record. Thank you. 23 24 THE COURT: And the government has had an 25 opportunity to review the Presentence Report?

```
MR. JEHANGIRI: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
              THE COURT: The government had five objections to
 2
     the report. The report was amended.
 3
              Do you have any remaining objections that the Court
 4
    needs to rule on?
 5
              MR. JEHANGIRI: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: There were 18 objections filed by the
     defense to the report.
 8
 9
              Mr. Peachey, did you want me to rule on each of
     those objections?
10
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor, I have accepted the
11
12
    report.
              THE COURT: So you have no objections to the
1.3
    report?
14
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I'm here on special appearance,
15
    not general appearance. I have accepted the report, and the
16
    matter is closed.
17
              THE COURT: So you don't want me to rule on any of
18
    the objections? Is that correct?
19
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Like I said, I'm here on
20
     special appearance, not general appearance. I have accepted
21
    the report, signed, and dated the report.
22
              THE COURT: So I'm taking from that that the
23
24
     defendant no longer has any objections to the report, and
    the report will remain as written.
25
```

```
Mr. Peachey, if there's anything that you want me
 1
     to rule on, you'll need to tell me right now --
 2
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor --
 3
              THE COURT: -- with regard to the report.
 4
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor, I have accepted the
 5
     report. And the government also handed me the Notice of
 6
 7
     Forfeiture. I have accepted all of that, for the record.
     Can I hand them a copy of it?
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Sure.
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: May the record reflect that
10
     I've accepted the Notice of Forfeiture.
11
12
              THE COURT: You were given a copy of the Notice of
     Forfeiture.
1.3
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: And I have accepted it, yes.
14
     Will the record please reflect that?
15
              THE COURT: The record will reflect that you have
16
     accepted the Notice of Forfeiture.
17
              I did receive a number of letters, both letters
18
     written on behalf of the victims and letters of support for
19
     the defendant. And I've reviewed all of the letters. On
20
     behalf of Mr. Peachey, letters were submitted by:
21
    Mrs. Ammon Sarah Peachey -- there were two letters from
22
     her -- Thomas Wengerd, Abram Peachey, Eldon Peachey, Iddo
23
24
     Peachey, Gerald Kurtz Wenger, Linda Bender, Leroy Peachey,
25
     Naomi Kanagy, Albert Peachey, Jeff and Gayle Anderson, Crist
```

```
Peachey, Mary Peachey, Daniel Peachey, Kenny Miller, and
 1
     Amos Peachey. And then also the defendant had an allocution
 2
     letter that I reviewed.
 3
              Victim impact statements were submitted by James
 4
     Glover, Mark Hall, Robert and Marsha Moller, Jon Kenyon
 5
     Baird, Sandra Glauser -- and the letter from Jon Kenyon was
 6
 7
     on behalf of Sally Jane Baird -- Alexander Knox, Dominic
     Biava, Dennis Brown, Shelley Hyde, Lyle Knox, and Paul
 8
 9
     Brown.
              Are there any other letters that I should have
10
     reviewed that I haven't identified?
11
             MR. JEHANGIRI: Not from the United States, Your
12
1.3
     Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Peachey, did you submit any other
14
     letters that I haven't reviewed?
15
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I haven't heard you say
16
17
     anything. There again, I'm here by special appearance just
     to settle the matter so...
18
              THE COURT: So I just read the list of letters that
19
20
     were submitted on your behalf, and I'm wondering if there
     are any other letters that I missed.
21
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I wouldn't be able to tell that
22
    because I didn't see the letters.
23
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
              Under the Presentence Report the total offense
```

```
level was determined to be a 41. The defendant is in
 1
     criminal history category I. The advisory guideline range
 2
     is 324 to 405 months in custody. Supervised release is one
 3
     to three years on each count. The defendant would not be
 4
     eligible for probation. The fine range is $50,000 to
 5
     $500,000. Restitution has been requested in the amount of
 6
 7
     $11,835,573. And there's a special assessment on each count
     of $100, for a total of $1,200.
 8
 9
              Do you both agree?
              MR. JEHANGIRI: Yes, Your Honor.
10
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I accept the sentencing report.
11
     I have a copy of it here. I've accepted, I've signed it,
12
1.3
     and I've dated it.
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              So first I wanted to talk about the restitution.
15
     Restitution has been requested in the following amounts:
16
     Paul Brown, $1,500,000; Dennis Brown, $2,925,000; Alexander
17
     Knox, $1,300,000; Leigh Fairbank, $1,100,000; Jon Baird,
18
     $480,000; Catherine Glauser, $500,000; Dominic Biava,
19
     $500,000; Shelley Hyde, $300,000; Sandra Glauser, $300,000;
20
     William Tennison, $550,000; Marvin Marttila, $532,414; Aaron
21
     Beiler, $900,000; Robert and Marsha Moller, $794,159; Jim
22
     and Jane Odle, $50,000; Gordon Rudebusch, $10,000; Larry
23
24
     Rudebusch, $15,000; Randy Hudson, $10,000; Ria Woodruff,
     $10,000; Gerald Dukes, $20,000; Irma Bittner, $9,000;
25
```

```
Marilyn Rudebusch, $10,000; and Jeff and Gayle Anderson,
 1
     $20,000, for a total of $11,835,573.
 2
              Mr. Peachey, any objection?
 3
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I'm here by special appearance,
 4
     Your Honor, not general appearance. I have accepted the
 5
     presenting report, and I've signed and dated everything.
 6
 7
     Thank you.
              THE COURT: Mr. Jehangiri, any objection from the
 8
 9
     government?
              MR. JEHANGIRI: No. That sounds correct, Your
10
     Honor. Thank you.
11
12
              THE COURT: So I find that those are the victims
1.3
     and the amounts of restitution that they are entitled to
     receive.
14
             Mr. Peachey, did you want to say anything regarding
15
     sentencing?
16
17
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: The copy that was given to me
    by John Hinrichs, this is the final copy of it? Presentence
18
     Investigation Report?
19
20
              THE COURT: I don't know what you have in your
     hand.
21
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: He gave that to me in a book.
22
     It's the Docket Number 419CR497. And it is --
23
24
              THE COURT: Does it show Docket 272 on the top?
25
              MR. JEHANGIRI: Right here (indicating).
```

```
DEFENDANT PEACHEY: That's the one that we're
 1
     talking about? Yeah. Okay.
 2
              I had a couple of other questions regarding that.
 3
     The Indictments -- I have a copy of the Indictments, two of
 4
     them. May I approach the prosecution?
 5
              THE COURT: You may.
 6
 7
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Is this a copy of the
     Indictment, the Redacted Superseding Indictment, and then
 8
 9
     Second Superseding Indictment? The 13 pages. The first
     one -- the first one is 11 pages. Is that correct? It was
10
     signed by Dennis Holmes.
11
12
             MR. JEHANGIRI:
                            Okay.
1.3
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Is this a copy of it?
             MR. JEHANGIRI: I don't know. It looks like it is.
14
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Yes. Thank you. Appreciate
15
     it. Here's a copy of the Second Superseding.
16
              MR. JEHANGIRI: It looks like what was filed.
17
     doesn't have a file stamp on the top.
18
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: It doesn't have a file stamp on
19
20
     it, but that's what was presented to me.
              MR. JEHANGIRI: That was under seal so that
21
22
     explains why.
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: So, Your Honor --
23
24
              THE COURT: Yes.
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: The prosecution just confirmed
25
```

that this is the two copies of the Indictment. It was a 1 Redacted Indictment, and then sometime later it was the 2 Second Superseding Indictment that was given to me as 3 presented to my attorney. And there is no date on the 4 Indictment. It could be 100 years old. It could be 50 5 years old. But I've accepted that, both of those 6 7 Indictments. They're dated today. There's no date on the Indictments when they were issued, so I've accepted them as 8 February 14, 2022. I've accepted all of them, front and 9 back signed and dated, and accepted them for the record, 10 please. May I present one to the clerk of court for the 11 12 record? THE COURT: I just want to check one thing. So the 13 actual documents, the copy that's filed with the clerk the 14 reports, would have a date on the top of each of those 15 16 documents. DEFENDANT PEACHEY: The presentment that was given 17 to me didn't have a date on, and it wasn't signed -- the 18 Attorney General signed it, but he didn't put a date on it. 19 20 And so I've accepted that as February 14, 2022, front and back. I've accepted both Indictments for the record. The 21 matter can be closed. 22 I have nothing further. 23 24 THE COURT: Did you want to say anything about what 25 your sentencing -- we're here for a sentencing hearing.

DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I'm here by special appearance, 1 2 not general appearance. I'm not here to testify or witness to anything. I've accepted the sentencing report. 3 THE COURT: A defendant always has the opportunity 4 to speak before I decide what the sentence is. You filed a 5 long allocution letter. I read all of that. I just wanted 6 7 to give you the opportunity if you wanted to say anything else that this would be the time. 8 DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor, like I said before, 9 I'm here by special appearance, not general appearance. I 10 have accepted the Indictments. I've signed and accepted 11 12 them. There's no controversy between me and the U.S. 1.3 government anymore. The matter is closed. I have accepted 14 the sentencing presentment that was given to me. I've accepted that. I've signed and dated it. There's no 15 16 controversy. I'm not here to argue law, fact, or 17 jurisdiction. That's not what I'm here for. I've accepted 18 everything. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. 19 20 Did anyone want to say anything on behalf of Mr. Peachey? 21 MR. RUDY PEACHEY: I would, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. If you could come up and use the 23 24 microphone on the podium over here, then I can hear you a little bit better. 25

MR. RUDY PEACHEY: Leave my mask on? 1 THE COURT: Yeah. And what is your name? 2 MR. RUDY PEACHEY: My name is Rudy Peachey. 3 Nathan Peachey's oldest son. I don't really have a lot to 4 say. We didn't know a lot about what was going on. We were 5 here for part of the trial. But I just want to say that we 6 7 are a family. We've been together my whole life, other than when we were apart traveling, whatnot. 8 We understand what has happened so far, and we 9 respect that. We would just, on behalf of the family -- we 10 understand what needs to be done, but we would like to say 11 12 that, if it's possible -- you know, there's only two children at home anymore with Mom. And for Dad to be gone 1.3 for a very long time is going to impact us in more ways that 14 I can mention here. But we -- like I said, we want to 15 respect what needs to be done, but we would also like to ask 16 that that will be taken into consideration. 17 I don't have any wonderful examples or things to 18 say on that, but just that we are a family. And to have Dad 19 20 at home is important for us as a family. We have many friends and family there at home, and we love our father. 21 And that's pretty much all I have. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Rudy. 23 24 Did anyone else want to say anything? 25 MR. NIKKEL: I would, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 1
              MR. NIKKEL: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Trevor
 2
     Nikkel. I'm married to one of Nathan's daughters. And I
 3
     just would echo what has just been said. We do understand
 4
     the law and that it appears it has been broken and that that
 5
     needs to be taken into consideration as well. But that you
 6
 7
     would just consider that we do need Dad at home as a family
    man, and especially with children still at home and taking
 8
 9
     care of Mom and everything. We just ask that you would
     consider that.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you.
11
              Did anyone else want to say anything?
12
1.3
              MR. MILLER: I would, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
             MR. MILLER:
                          I'm Kenny Miller, a friend of
15
     Nathan's. I've known him for over 15 years. And just -- I
16
    value him as a friend and a great example of a family man.
17
    And I just ask you to consider that. Thank you.
18
              THE COURT: Thank you.
19
20
              Anyone else?
              Mr. Jehangiri.
21
              MR. JEHANGIRI: May I approach?
22
              Your Honor, this is -- this sentencing involves a
23
24
     defendant that has engaged in what I would term "learned
    behavior." It's ongoing today in court. I think -- there's
25
```

1.3

Page: 13

no doubt he's a family man. There's no dispute about the family he has at home, his children. The youngest is 16.

And I think they are under a spell, like some of the victims are, continued under this ongoing scheme.

The victims in this case were impacted significantly. There are retirements gone, lives altered forever, all because they bought into what was supposed to be righteous and good, but it was all a sham. And I think it's still happening right now. This is learned behavior, and he continues to engage in it.

This goes to 3553 factor (a)(1). I think it weighs against him for his history and characteristics, as does what I submitted in our response to the Motion for Downward Variance. I believe that — and the evidence shows — that Mr. Peachey has been engaged in some sort of fraud scheme for probably over a decade. I can't put my finger on when, but it's been years in the making. And he was engaging in it with his co-defendant, Mr. Winer. And when that started to unravel, it just rolled into something new. And they were able to get these people, these victims, who believed in Christian values, to invest in something that was nonexistent. There's nothing left. So while I appreciate the defendant accepting the restitution amount and whatever terms he's using, the restitution is not going to be paid meaningfully. So, thankfully, we were able to secure

1.3

Page: 14

assets, and we're making efforts, daily, to try to get those back to the victims so that their lives can maybe take on some normalcy or the plan that they had set out before this all happened to them.

Your Honor, as to 3553(a)(2), the need for the sentence imposed, I think some very important things need to be pointed out. One is the defendant's allocution statement. It's absolutely offensive. I've never read anything like it. But what it does is it shows his utter disrespect for the law. And it shows that this Court needs to impose a serious sentence to provide just punishment for the offense and that -- to protect the community from further crimes that this defendant would engage in. It's been an ongoing fraud scheme, and he's finally caught.

The allocution attacked everyone and blamed everyone except himself. He's -- it was a shining, disturbing example of narcissism. That is not righteous or Christian-like or whatever you may believe in in any sense of the word.

Your Honor, the guideline range calls for a range that's above the statutory max of the offenses of conviction. The United States recommends a sentence in the guideline range. And in order to do so, the Court would need to run consecutive one of those counts. And I'm asking the Court to do so. I think the substantive counts of money

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page: 15

laundering -- this money-laundering case was, hands down, the most complicated, complex, sophisticated money-laundering case I've ever seen. There is no heartland for this case. This is in another stratosphere. What he was doing, the manner that he did it in, moving the money and hiding it under these shell names, these alter egos --I've never seen anything like it. The tax returns submitted, all false names. Even the incorporation documents in Washington state, they have a PO box at a UPS Store. Nothing exists. The money-laundering substantive counts can serve as an -- has been a basis to follow what the guidelines say to impose a guideline-range sentence. I also believe, Your Honor, that the obstruction of justice conviction -- I know he gets an enhancement already for that. But I think his letter to the Court is borderline, if not over the line, of obstruction of justice at the sentencing hearing. If you look at the factors that are set forth in the commentary, Application Note 4, Subsections A through I apply in this case. He influenced witnesses by and through others. He submitted false documents to the Court. He tried to influence witnesses at the grand jury, and he did. He had an impact. The letter he sent -- the letters he sent to the multiple grand jury witnesses that we subpoenaed to testify were affected. Their testimony -- it was the most painful day I've ever had

1.3

Page: 16

at grand jury. There was no one there to cross. The Court knows this, but I'll tell you, that what he submitted to those grand jurors before that session completely altered what they did in front of the grand jury under oath.

We have on tape him ordering and Defendant Winer shredding documents.

Provision E relates to more specifically to

Mr. Winer so -- but I believe that this manifesto is false.

His story again changed in the submission to the Court in

his allocution statement. He lied on numerous occasions to

the agents. There are, I believe, false statements in the

PSR concerning the tax documents and the income that was

coming into his house.

And then finally, I, is actually conduct that would merit obstruction of justice, for which he was convicted.

So for those reasons, Your Honor, I believe that this Court can run consecutive the obstruction of justice count and/or the substantive money-laundering counts to that of the conspiracy counts to arrive at a guideline range sentence because of the conduct -- the long-running conduct associated with this case.

This defendant was the hub of this fraud scheme.

It did not go anywhere without him. Rosier may have been the guy sitting at the castle in Norway, but it was because of this defendant's conduct in getting and spending this

1.3

Page: 17

money. Rosier also engaged in learned behavior that mirrored that of the former RuSA leader, Turner. But he didn't do much. He just bossed people around and collected. He was kind of a bumbling idiot. The wheels turned with this defendant. And then he paid himself when he wired 1.4 or 5 million back to his Pennsylvania account. So that goes to the 3553 factors as well.

And I don't cite the factor of deterrence often. I feel like deterrence is a hard factor to meet in most criminal cases involving violent crime, drug crimes, things of that nature. I believe that deterrence is a real thing for the public and for defendants and those who engage in this conduct when the Court imposes a serious sentence in white-collar cases. I feel that this factor under (2)(B) is highly relevant in white-collar cases. And I cite that for the Court's consideration in imposing sentence.

The intent involved in these crimes is nothing like I've seen. The phone calls, the nefarious underlying deceit just to rip all these people off is abhorrent.

Your Honor, I'd ask the Court to impose a guideline range sentence for those reasons. I'd ask the Court to impose a term of supervised release of three years. The Court has already outlined the amount of restitution. I'd ask that that be imposed for the victims named earlier in the proceeding. I'd ask the Court to find in the judgment

that Christian Charity Foundation and Jericho Outreach were 1 alter egos of the defendant and to impose the special 2 verdict, as returned by the jury, regarding that property, 3 those pieces of property: Specifically, the residence in 4 Norway, the vehicle, and the silver. A fine -- no need for 5 a fine. 6 7 That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: So let me ask on the forfeiture. Will 8 9 the residence in Norway be sold? MR. JEHANGIRI: Yes. We are making -- we are doing 10 what we can. The international nature of this really 11 12 complicates things. But I'll tell you the folks in my 1.3 office, the IRS, the FBI, and our partners in Norway are working hard to try to make that happen. 14 THE COURT: And then the items that are forfeited, 15 I assume that that will be applied to the restitution? 16 17 MR. JEHANGIRI: That's my hope. I mean, my hope is that we are submitting to the Court as soon as possible some 18 sort of document attesting to the value of the assets once 19 20 we are able to liquidate them. THE COURT: So my question is: The items typically 21 would be forfeited to the United States. Instead of that, 22 should the judgment indicate that they're being forfeited to 23 24 the United States to then be applied to the restitution? 25 MR. JEHANGIRI: May I have one moment?

THE COURT: You may. 1 (Discussion between government counsel) 2 MR. JEHANGIRI: That's fine, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 Thank you, Your Honor. 5 MR. JEHANGIRI: DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor, may I approach the 6 7 clerk? I've got some documents. THE COURT: You may. 8 9 The clerk is right over here. DEFENDANT PEACHEY: This is, for the record, the 10 acceptance of delivery of forfeiture, signed and dated, for 11 12 the one for Christian Charity Foundation. Signed and dated. The acceptance of the Redacted Superseding Indictment. 13 There was no date for when it was issued. It was just 14 dateless, but I dated it 2/14/22, signed and dated by Nathan 15 Peachey. The Second Superseding Indictment. There was no 16 date on it given by the Attorney General. It was 17 open-ended. So I closed it, accepted the presentment 18 February 14, 2022, signed by Nathan Joel Peachey. And the 19 20 Presentence Investigation Report as accepted and dated, Nathan Joel Peachey, February 14, 2022. Thank you. Nothing 21 22 more. THE COURT: Mr. Peachey, when I read the report 23 24 about you and the letters on your behalf, you're a man who 25 was one of many children, and you have a twin. It looked to

1.3

Page: 20

me like you had some health problems when you were younger, and because of that, you became really interested in helping others through natural medicine, and yourself. You're a dedicated family man and father. You've got five kids between the ages of 16 and 27.

At some point you developed some beliefs. And as a result of that, didn't file federal income tax returns for many years and started engaging in acts to defraud others of money. And it started out long before what you were convicted of here. Sometimes you were a partner in those ventures with your co-defendant here, Mr. Winer.

From the evidence that I heard during the trial, and particularly one recording of a conversation between you and Mr. Winer, it was clear to me that the two of you had a long-time, ongoing relationship of scamming money from other people. You duped many victims. And during that conversation, you talked about your biggest worry was one of those victims going to law enforcement and basically spilling their guts about what had happened, and that you'd end up getting caught.

This scheme that you were charged with here is one of the biggest fraud schemes I've seen: over \$11 million involved. There was 1.5 million that came back directly into your personal account. But you were really the money man that moved all of this money around, moved it from the

1.3

Page: 21

United States over to Norway. You were involved in purchasing the house in Norway. You were involved in moving the silver bars from the United States over to Norway. So you were integrally involved in this entire thing.

The people that invested in this scam are people that were convinced of a number of different reasons as to why they should invest. I think for each investor the scammers were able to figure out what would motivate them, whether it was trying to do religious charitable efforts on behalf of humanity, if it was a guaranteed rate of return to get them to invest. In any event, you were able to figure out what their motivating factor was and gain their trust and get their money.

For almost all of the people that wrote in letters that had been investors, they were investing their retirement money, with the understanding that they would get that money back with a good rate of return. They almost all talked about how stressful this has been for them, how they have to work more years now because they've lost their retirement money, and how they had full faith in this investment.

This is one of the few cases I've had where the jury actually found that the defendant obstructed justice and found that beyond a reasonable doubt.

So after considering all of that, and the fact that

it involved over \$11 million, many, many, many victims, many 1 of them who are suffering financially as a result of this 2 investment, I find that a sentence of 300 months of custody 3 is appropriate under the facts here. 4 If you'd please stand, I'm going to state the 5 sentence, but I won't impose it until counsel has had an 6 7 opportunity to state any objections. DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I'm here by special appearance, 8 Your Honor. I have accepted the Indictment, signed and 9 dated it, returned it. There is no more controversy. It 10 has been closed. I've accepted the sentencing guidelines. 11 12 Controversy has been closed. 13 Are you making a new offer to me? THE COURT: I'm not making an offer. I'm stating 14 the sentence. 15 DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I will accept your offer. 16 17 Thank you. THE COURT: Based on the constitutional and 18 statutory authority vested in this Court, it's the judgment 19 20 of the Court that the defendant, Nathan Peachey, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be 21 imprisoned for 240 months on Count 1, 2, and 8 through 16, 22 which will run concurrently. 23 24 Regarding Count 17, you'll be imprisoned for a term of 16 months, which will run consecutively to Counts 1, 2, 25

and 8 through 16. 1 When you're released from prison, you'll be on 2 supervised release for a term of three years on each count. 3 They will all run concurrently. 4 Within 72 hours of being released from the custody 5 of the Bureau of Prisons, you'll need to report in person to 6 7 the probation office in the district where you're released. While you're under supervision, you need to follow 8 the following mandatory conditions: 9 You must not commit another federal, state, or 10 local crime. 11 You must not unlawfully possess a controlled 12 1.3 substance. Mandatory drug testing is suspended because I think 14 you pose a low risk of future substance abuse. 15 You must cooperate in the collection of DNA. 16 You must comply with the standard conditions that 17 have been adopted by this Court and with the following 18 special conditions: 19 20 You must participate in and complete a cognitive behavioral training program as directed by the probation 21 office. 22 You must provide the probation office with access 23 24 to any requested financial information. You must apply all monies received from income tax 25

Page: 24

refunds, judgments, and unexpected financial gains to the outstanding court-ordered financial obligation.

You must submit your person, residence, place of business, vehicle, possessions, computer, smartphone, tablet, or any other Internet-capable device, including passwords, to a search conducted by a United States probation officer without a warrant when the officer has reasonable suspicion of a violation of a condition of supervision.

It's further ordered that you must make restitution, joint and several, with John Rick Winer; Frederick Arias, who is yet to be sentenced; and Lubova Burkute, yet to be sentenced, to the following persons and the following amounts:

Paul Brown, 1,500,000; Dennis Brown, 2,925,000;
Alexander Knox, 1,300,000; Leigh Fairbank, 1,100,000; Jon
Baird, 480,000; Catherine Glauser, 500,000; Dominic Biava,
500,000; Shelley Hyde, 300,000; Sandra Glauser, 300,000;
William Tennison, 550,000; Marvin Marttila, 532,414; Aaron
Beiler, 900,000; Robert and Marsha Moller, 794,159; Jim and
Jane Odle, \$50,000; Gordon Rudebusch, \$10,000; Larry
Rudebusch, \$15,000; Randy Hudson, \$10,000; Ria Woodruff,
\$10,000; Gerald Dukes, \$20,000; Irma Bittner, \$9,000; Marlyn
Rudebusch, \$10,000; Jeff and Gayle Anderson, \$20,000, for a
total of \$11,835,573.

1.3

Page: 25

Any payment that's not payment in full will be divided proportionately among the persons named.

You must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of mailing or residence address that occurs while any portion of the restitution remains unpaid.

Payment of the total restitution and other criminal monetary penalties will be due in regular quarterly installments of \$25, or 25 percent of the deposits in your inmate trust account, whichever is greater, while you're in custody; or 10 percent of your inmate trust account while serving custody at a residential re-entry center.

Any portion of the monetary obligation not paid in full prior to your release will be due in monthly installments of \$1,000, such payments to begin 30 days after your release.

In light of the restitution owed, I find that you do not have the ability to pay a fine, so the fine and interest are waived.

It's further ordered that you must pay to the United States a special assessment of \$100 for each count, for a total of \$1,200, which is due immediately.

You shall forfeit your interest in the following property to the United States, which will then be applied to the restitution: The residence located at Jongskollen 18,

```
1337 Sandvika, Norway; a vehicle identified as a Mercedes
 1
     GLC 250 4M, bearing VIN number WDC2539461F049078; and silver
 2
     coins originally worth approximately $2,757,862.20 in U.S.
 3
     currency which were seized in June 2019 pursuant to a search
 4
    warrant executed at the residence located at Jongskollen 18,
 5
     1337 Sandvika, Norway.
 6
 7
              Counsel, are either -- are you aware of any reason
    why the sentence can't be imposed as I stated?
 8
 9
              MR. JEHANGIRI: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And, Mr. Peachey, are you aware of any
10
     reason why the sentence can't be imposed as I stated?
11
12
              DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Your Honor, I'm here by special
1.3
     appearance. I've accepted the Indictment. I've accepted
     the sentencing report, signed and dated and returned to the
14
            The case has been closed. Thank you. Nothing
15
     Court.
    more.
16
17
              THE COURT: Then the sentence will be imposed as I
     stated. And you may be seated.
18
              MR. JEHANGIRI: Your Honor, may I inquire?
19
20
              THE COURT: You may.
              MR. JEHANGIRI: Would the Court enter Jericho
21
    Outreach and Christian Charity Foundation as alter egos in
22
     its judgment?
23
24
              THE COURT: I don't believe that that's proper to
     include in a criminal judgment dealing with Mr. Peachey.
25
```

Mr. Peachey, if you think I made a mistake, and you 1 want to have another court review what I did, you would need 2 to file a notice of appeal within 14 days from today with 3 the Clerk of Courts Office. 4 DEFENDANT PEACHEY: I have one right here. Can I 5 file it now? 6 7 THE COURT: As soon as we're finished, you can give it to the clerk. 8 The defendant has been on pretrial release. What's 9 the government's position? 10 MR. JEHANGIRI: We'd seek his immediate detention, 11 12 Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Peachey, do you want to address 13 that? 14 DEFENDANT PEACHEY: Yes, Your Honor. I'm here by 15 special appearance, and I've accepted the charges of the 16 United States government. I've signed and dated and 17 returned that to the clerk. I've accepted the presentment 18 of the sentencing report, signed and dated and returned it 19 back so it's on the record. As far as I'm concerned, the 20 case has been closed, the controversy with the United States 21 government. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: The defendant has been convicted by a 23 24 jury, and he has been sentenced. And in light of that, 25 there is a presumption of detention. So I'm going to have

```
the U.S. Marshals take him into custody.
 1
 2
              We'll be adjourned.
                    (Proceedings concluded at 9:22 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)
     DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
                                 : SS
                                        CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 6
     SOUTHERN DIVISION
 7
          I, Carla Dedula, Official United States District Court
     Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
 8
     Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public, hereby certify that
 9
     the above and foregoing transcript is the true, full, and
     complete transcript of the above-entitled case, consisting
10
     of pages 1 - 28.
11
          I further certify that I am not a relative or employee
12
     or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a
     relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor do I
13
     have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
14
          IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereto set my hand this
     22nd day of March, 2022.
15
16
17
     CARLA DEDULA RPR, CRR, CRC
     400 S. Phillips Avenue
18
     Sioux Falls, SD 57104
     Phone: (605) 330-6669
19
     Email: carla dedula@sdd.uscourts.gov
20
     My Commission Expires: May 24, 2026
21
22
23
24
25
```